Original Sheet No. 309A

CNG states that the purpose of this filing is to address pagination and supercession errors within Volume No. 1 of its tariff. At Sheet Nos. 142A, 153A, 162A and 173A, CNG seeks to establish reservation pages for sheets that were not effectively superseded by CNG's February 13, 1998 compliance filing in Docket No. CP96-492. Original Sheet No. 309A would recapture Sections 11B.4.B, 11B.4.C, and 11.B.4.D from the General Terms and Conditions. CNG states that it inadvertently omitted these subsections of its tariff during the repagination of this area as filed in Docket No. RP97-406-005.

CNG states that copies of its letter of transmittal and enclosures are being mailed to CNG's customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

## Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–28943 Filed 10–28–98; 8:45 am]

## **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99-14-000]

## Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

October 23, 1998.

Take notice that on October 13, 1998, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 12801 Fair Lakes Parkway, Fairfax, Virginia 22030–0146, filed in Docket No. CP99–14–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for

authorization to construct and operate additional points of delivery for firm transportation service to existing customers, under Columbia's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83–76–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia states that the customers involved are Mountaineer Gas Company (MGC) and Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. (COH). The location of the new point of delivery for MGC is in Upshur County, West Virginia and COH's two new points of delivery are in Medina County, Ohio. The estimated quantities of natural gas to be delivered for each of the three new points of delivery is 1.5 Dth/day and 150 Dth/annually. The end use of gas for all three is residential and the estimated costs to establish the three new points of delivery is approximately \$150 each and will be treated as O&M

Columbia proposes to construct and operate a new point of delivery to MGC in Upshur County, West Virginia which will involve construction of interconnecting facilities located on Columbia's existing right-of-way. MGC will install a meter within Columbia's existing right-of-way to provide this service. The new point of delivery will allow MGC to serve Steve Carpenter, a residential customer.

Columbia proposes to construct and operate the new points of delivery to COH in Medina County, Ohio which will involve construction of interconnecting facilities located on Columbia's existing right-of-way. COH will install meters within Columbia's existing right-of-way to provide these services. The new points of delivery will allow COH to serve Tim A. Hawkins and Paul Stafinski, both are residential customers.

Columbia states that the new points of delivery will have no effect on peak day and annual deliveries, that its existing tariff does not prohibit the addition of new delivery points and that deliveries will be accomplished without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers and that the total volumes delivered will not exceed total volumes authorized prior to this request.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is

filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-28933 Filed 10-28-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99-16-000]

## Dauphin Island Gathering Partners; Notice of Application

October 23, 1998.

Take notice that on October 13, 1998, **Dauphin Island Gathering Partners** (DIGP), 370 17th Street, Suite 900, Denver, Colorado, 80202, filed in Docket No. CP99-16-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to lease additional capacity created by Texas **Eastern Transmission Corporation** (Texas Eastern) as a result of the construction of loop line on Texas Eastern' Main Pass System located offshore Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

DIGP requests authorization to lease 100,000 dt equivalent of capacity per day from Texas Eastern, which would be the incremental capacity created by Texas Eastern's proposed construction. It is asserted that DIGP would use the capacity to transport gas for its shippers from Texas Eastern's Main Pass Block 164 to the Venice Gas Processing Plant in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana. Texas Eastern has filed an application requesting certificate authorization for the construction in Docket No. CP99–18–000.

It is stated that DIGP needs the capacity to transport natural gas reserves from the offshore Main Pass and Viosca Knoll Areas to satisfy increased demand by DIGP's customers. It is asserted that DIGP would levy an incremental charge of between \$0.00 and \$0.10 per dt equivalent on those shippers using the additional capacity. It is explained that this would allow DIGP to recover its monthly lease payment to Texas Eastern from those shippers benefiting from the additional